

Article

Towards the Microscopic Identification of Anions and Cations at the Ionic Liquid | Ag(111) Interface: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Investigation

Florian Buchner, Katrin Forster-Tonigold, Benedikt Uhl, Dorothea alwast, Nadja Wagner, Hanieh Farkhondeh, Axel Groß, and R. Jürgen Behm

ACS Nano, Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/nn4026417 • Publication Date (Web): 14 Aug 2013 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on August 15, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Nano is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Towards the Microscopic Identification of Anions and Cations at the Ionic Liquid | Ag(111) Interface: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Investigation

Florian Buchner,^{‡,a,b} Katrin Forster-Tonigold, ^{‡,a,c} Benedikt Uhl,^{a,b} Dorothea Alwast,^{a,b} Nadja Wagner,^{a,b} Hanieh Farkhondeh^{a,b}, Axel Groß^{a,c}, and R. Jürgen Behm*^{a,b}

^[a] Helmholtz Institute Ulm - Electrochemical Energy Storage, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11,

D-89081 Ulm,

^[b] Ulm University, Institute of Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, Albert-Einstein-Allee 47,

D-89081 Ulm,

^[c] Ulm University, Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, D-89081 Ulm

Prof. Dr. R. J. Behm Universität Ulm Institut für Oberflächenchemie und Katalyse Albert-Einstein-Allee 47 D-89069 Ulm, Germany Phone: +49 (0)731/50-25451 Fax: +49 (0)731/50-25452 E-Mail: juergen.behm@uni-ulm.de

[‡] These authors contributed equally

ABSTRACT

The interaction between adsorbed 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium an bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide [BMP][TFSA] ionic liquid (IL) layer and a Ag(111) substrate, under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions, was investigated in a combined experimental and theoretical approach, by high-resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and dispersion corrected density functional theory calculations (DFT-D). Most important, we succeeded in unambiguously identifying cations and anions in the adlayer by comparing experimental images with submolecular resolution and simulated STM images based on DFT calculations, and these findings are in perfect agreement with the 1 : 1 ratio of anions and cations adsorbed on the metal derived from XPS measurements. Different adlayer phases include a mobile 2D liquid phase at room temperature and two 2D solid phases at around 100 K, i.e., a 2D glass phase with short-range order and some residual, but very limited mobility and a long-range ordered 2D crystalline phase. The mobility in the different adlayer phases, including melting of the 2D crystalline phase, was evaluated by dynamic STM imaging. The DFT-D calculations show that the interaction with the substrate is composed of mainly van der Waals and weak electrostatic (dipole-induced dipole) interactions, and that upon adsorption most of the charge remains at the IL, leading to attractive electrostatic interactions between the adsorbed species.

KEYWORDS: Ionic liquids, scanning tunneling microscopy, density functional theory, surface chemistry, self-assembly, molecule-molecule and molecule-substrate interactions

Submitted to ACS Nano, .RECEIVED DATE (...)

TITLE RUNNING HEAD: Identification of anions and cations of an ionic liquid adlayer on Ag(111)

Ionic liquids (ILs), which are molten salts usually consisting of organic ions with a melting point below 100°C,^{1,2} have attracted considerable interest in recent years because of their unusual physicochemical properties such as high ionic conductivity and electrochemical stability, very low vapor pressure, or low flammability. Due to the large variety of combinations of different anion-cation pairs, the properties of the ILs can be adjusted over a wide range, making them highly interesting molecular building blocks for both fundamental research and specific applications.¹⁻⁴ One very interesting application is their use as solvent in electrochemical energy storage, where ionic liquids could replace standard solvents in lithium ion and lithium air batteries.⁵⁻⁸ They are also discussed as support-modifying functional layers in heterogeneous catalysis.^{9,10} For the successful transfer into application, it would be highly desirable to understand the processes at the IL | solid and IL | vacuum interface on a fundamental level, at the molecular scale. As one important point, this includes the various aspects of structure formation of ILs on flat surfaces, as a model for the IL | solid interface.

In this paper we report results of a combined experimental and theoretical study on the adsorption and structure formation behavior of the anion-cation pair 1-butyl-1-methyl-pyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions in the sub-monolayer to monolayer range, applying high resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and dispersion corrected density functional theory (DFT-D) calculations.¹¹ Despite numerous investigations, conducted both *in situ*, in an electrochemical environment ¹²⁻¹⁶ and *ex situ* under UHV conditions,^{9,10,17-27} studies on the interaction of IL monolayers with single crystalline surfaces are rare. Cremer *et al.* studied the adsorption of 1,3-dimethylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([MMIM][TFSA]) and 1-methyl-3-octylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([OMIM][TFSA]) ionic liquids on Au(111) by angle-resolved X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (ARXPS) and concluded that at a coverage of up to 1.0 ML both anions and cations are in direct contact with the surface, presumably in an

alternating arrangement.²⁸ (Note that the authors of that study defined a coverage of 1 ML as a saturated layer of anions and cations on top of each other, while in the present study we define a monolayer coverage by using the number of adsorbed ions in direct contact with the surface at saturation of the surface as reference. This requires to multiply the coverages from the above group by a factor of 2, which is done in the following.) In contrast to the findings for Au(111), Cremer *et al.* found for [MMIM][TFSA] adsorption on Ni(111) that up to coverages of ~0.80 ML the ions are vertically aligned, with the imidazolium cation directly on the Ni surface and the corresponding anion on top of it.²⁶ By analysing infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (IRAS) measurements with the help of density functional theory (DFT) calculations, Sobota *et al.* concluded that at sub-monolayer coverages the adsorption for [TFSA]² anions, with the SO₂ groups attached to the substrate.²⁹

In a very first molecular resolution STM investigation, a short-range ordered structure was resolved for the 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium tris(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate ([BMP][FAP]) ionic liquid on Au(111) under UHV conditions at 200 K.³⁰ Molecular objects in form of dots could be identified, which could be either ion pairs in a double layer arrangement or individual ions, with both ions in contact to the surface. More recently, STM measurements by Foulston et al. on 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([EMIM][TFSA]) adsorbed on Au(110) resolved molecular entities, which they assumed to consist of closely associated ion pairs. However, in neither of these cases was it possible to distinguish and to molecularly identify anions and cations by STM imaging. This is in contrast to other 2D ionic networks involving adsorbed alkali ions $(Cs^+, Na^+ \text{ or } Li^+)$ and organic anions such as anionic carboxylate or tetracyano-pquinodimethane adsorbed on smooth noble metal surfaces (Cu(100), Au(111)), $^{32-34}$ where STM identification of the respective adsorbed ions was possible in several cases. The relative size difference for the adsorbed IL species, however, is much less, rendering the identification

ACS Nano

of anions and cations much more complicated. In this context it is also of pivotal importance to obtain information on the actual ratio of adsorbed anions and cations on the surface. For a detailed molecular scale understanding of the processes at the IL | solid interface, the identification of single adsorbed ions would be highly desirable, together with a similar understanding of the nature of the substrate – adsorbate and adsorbate – adsorbate interactions. This is topic of the present work, where the combination of high resolution STM imaging with sub-molecular resolution, XPS measurements and density functional theory based calculations allows for an unambiguous identification of the adlayer, including both substrate – adsorbate and adsorbate – adsorbate interactions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that individual ions could be resolved and identified in an IL adlayer, which is a prerequisite for the detailed understanding of the solid | IL interface in general. The latter in turn is basis for systematic improvements in related applications as described above.

Results and Discussion

To begin with, we discuss the adsorption behavior of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) based on large-scale STM images at sub-monolayer and monolayer coverages. STM images recorded at 300 K do not allow us to resolve single IL entities. Instead, stripy features appear, which are not observed on the clean Ag(111) surface (SI I). Therefore these features are related to adsorbed IL entities in a 2D gas / 2D liquid adlayer that diffuse rapidly on the time scale of the STM experiment and can therefore not be resolved.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Similar observations were reported by Waldmann *et al.* for room temperature imaging of a [BMP][FAP] ionic liquid adlayer on Au(111) ³⁰ and by Foulston *et al.* for [EMIM][TFSA] on Au(110).³¹

In Figure 1 we present constant current STM images recorded at sub-monolayer (Figure 1a) and monolayer coverage (Figure 1b) at around 100 K, where a monolayer is defined as the coverage required to completely cover the surface. Figure 1a shows four silver terraces

(depicted as I, II, III, IV), which are covered with the adsorbed IL species in different ways. On terrace I, we find a large, long-range ordered and homogeneous adsorbate phase without any internal domain boundaries. These large ordered areas are solely observed on large silver terraces; small domains or islands of that phase, separated by domain boundaries or other phases, have not been observed on these terraces. (We here consider terraces to be large, if they exhibit a width of at least 20 - 30 nm, and they extend mostly over the entire scan area in the other direction, which from experimental reasons was limited to $100 \text{ nm} \times 100 \text{ nm}$.) These areas, which shall be referred to as an ordered 2D crystalline phase, mostly extend over the entire terrace, from step to step (see also SI II), while phase boundaries to adjacent 2D liquid or 2D gas phase were largely orthogonal to the steps. From the reasons given above it was mostly not possible to determine the lengths of these areas as they exceeded at least in one direction the STM scan area. Note that at large terraces, at least close to the steps, we also observed regions where the IL adsorbates are arranged in a disordered way, which will henceforth be referred to as a 2D glass phase. Terrace II (Figure 1a), which is only ~ 10 nm wide, shows an apparently uncovered, adsorbate free region, at least there is no adlayer structure visible, neither ordered nor disordered. Noisy features in the fast scanning direction are again attributed to highly mobile adsorbed species, *i.e.*, also this area is not free of adsorbates. At the left side of terrace II, two islands with arbitrary shapes grow from the step. At the present resolution, these islands consist of single dots, which are arranged in a disordered way (see below). Terrace III (Figure 1a) shows a larger region covered with a disordered 2D glass phase, which extends from the left to the right step. Finally, terrace IV (Figure 1 a) is nearly completely filled with the disordered 2D glass phase.

Successively increasing the coverage (Figure 1b), the 2D crystalline phase is exclusively found on the large terraces; in addition, also disordered regions are found on large terraces close to the steps. Narrow terraces (~10 nm width) in Figure 1b are now completely filled with the disordered 2D glass phase. As the influence of the steps is much larger at narrow terraces,

it is likely that the interaction with these defects is responsible for the formation of the disordered 2D glass phase, inhibiting the ordering process. In large-scale STM images, three orientations of the 2D crystalline phase are found (only one orientation per terrace (SI II)), whose orientation differs by multiplies of 120°. Hence, these domains are rotationally aligned with the underlying Ag(111) surface lattice. The observation that large terraces are largely occupied by large and homogeneous areas of 2D crystalline phase points towards (weakly) attractive adsorbate-adsorbate interactions.

Next we concentrate on the dynamic behavior of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) at 100 K. Figure 2 shows the boundary between an ordered 2D crystalline phase and an adjacent 2D liquid phase, which typically appears frizzy (Figure 2a). Such frizzy features are generally due to surface mobility at the phase boundary. Even though we used a high tunneling resistance ($R_t \sim 2 - 44 \text{ G}\Omega$), we can not totally exclude tip induced effects. Time sequences of STM images were acquired and selected images from two series are shown in Figures 2b and 2c. The time interval from image to image was ~ 25 s in Figure 2a and ~6 s in Figure 2b. The main finding is that the interior of the domain remains stable over time, while the location of the phase boundary changes gradually. This behavior can be explained by a 2D adsorption-desorption equilibrium at the phase boundary. The IL entities in the 2D liquid phase are highly mobile and can not be resolved.⁵⁰⁻⁵²

Dynamic STM measurements were also performed at regions of the surface where both the ordered 2D crystalline phase and the disordered 2D glass phase coexisted. Selected images extracted from the time lapse movie (see SI III) are shown in Figure 3. On the right terrace, the features in the ordered 2D crystalline phase remain highly stable over time. As in Figure 1a, disordered regions are found close to the step. On the left terrace, the STM time sequence shows that an apparently uncovered region successively fills up with IL species in the disordered 2D phase, *i.e.*, the phase boundary changes with time. As discussed before, the stripy features in the fast scan direction are presumably due to highly mobile adsorbed IL

species in a 2D liquid phase.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Thus, there is an exchange of adspecies at the boundary between disordered 2D phase and 2D liquid. In contrast to the 2D crystalline phase, the adsorbed species in the disordered 2D phase are not fully immobile; infrequent molecular jumps are observed. Although we do not have experimental evidence for that, such kind of molecular motion would allow, at least from a kinetic point of view, a slow transformation from the 2D disordered phase into the 2D crystalline phase. We assume, however, that both the interaction with the steps and the absence of strongly attractive adsorbate-adsorbate interactions prevent the adlayer from ordering on small terraces or directly along the steps on larger terraces. As described before, even at low temperatures of around 100 K we did not observe small islands of the ordered [BMP][TFSA] adlayer phase on Ag(111), but just larger ordered areas.

In similar time-resolved measurements we could resolve the 2D melting of the 2D crystalline phase. The results were acquired by applying "slow" linear heating ramps (~0.5 K/min), starting from an initial temperature of around 100 K. This way, we could reproducibly determine a 2D melting temperature of the ordered 2D crystalline phase of 180 ± 10 K. Above 180 K, we observed stripy features, which are attributed to a 2D liquid adlayer (SI IV). The 'melting' temperature of the 2D glass phase is presumably slightly lower than that of the 2D crystalline phase; an accurate value can not be given. However, extrapolating the intrinsic motion in this phase at 100 K to higher temperatures, a lower melting point compared to the ordered 2D crystalline phase seems plausible. In a more general sense, the presence of long-range ordered islands with a low melting point and their equilibrium with a surrounding 2D liquid phase point to weakly attractive adsorbate – adsorbate interactions and a low barrier for surface diffusion.

We now focus on the structure of the stable, ordered 2D crystalline phase. The high resolution STM image of this phase in Figure 4a resolves an alternating sequence of pairs of longish protrusions and round dots, where the latter are indicated by symbols in the STM

ACS Nano

image. The dimensions of the unit cell are $|a| = 2.3 \pm 0.1$ nm and $|b| = 1.1 \pm 0.1$ nm and $\alpha = 98 \pm 5^{\circ}$, with two dots and four longish protrusions per unit cell. Hence, the ratio of dots to longish protrusions is 1 : 2 (Figure 4b). The pairs of longish protrusions as well as the dots are aligned along one of the lattice vectors, with always one line of dots followed by one line of longish protrusions. Between successive lines of longish protrusion their orientation changes by ~120°. This together with the observation of three rotational domains indicates that the adlayer is aligned along the atomic lattice of the Ag(111) surface, most likely with the lines oriented along the close packed directions of the Ag(111) surface.

To directly identify the ratio and composition of the adsorbed ions on the metal, in addition to the structural information gained by STM, XP data were acquired on a Ag(111) surface covered by a submonolayer of [BMP][TFSA] at room temperature (from the damping of the Ag 3d signals a layer thickness of 3.5 Å was calculated). The C 1s and N 1s core level signals, which are common elements of both ions, are depicted in Figures 5a and b. A molecular stick presentation for [BMP][TFSA] is placed above the spectra; the color coded arrows refer to the corresponding XP peaks. In the C1s region (Figure 5a), the peak referred to as Calkyl originates from 5 carbon atoms, i.e., 3 carbon atoms in the butyl chain and 2 carbon atoms in the pyrrolidinium ring, which both have solely carbon neighbours. The peak referred to as Chetero is due to the 4 carbon atoms with the nitrogen atom in the ring as a neighbor and C_{anion} is attributed to the 2 carbons in the anion; the nominal ratio of these peak areas is 5:4:2. The experimentally determined peak areas are 5.0 : 4.1 : 1.9 (normalized to Calkyl), which is close to the nominal IL stoichiometry, indicating a 1:1 ratio of adsorbed anions and cations on the metal surface. In the N1s region (Figure 5b), a N_{anion} : N_{cation} ratio of one is found within the limits of accuracy, which further proofs that the anion : cation ratio on the surface is 1 : 1. Overall, the XPS data provide clear proof that anions and cations adsorb without decomposition. Furthermore, upon successively increasing the [BMP][TFSA] coverage (Figure 5c), it was found that for small amounts of the IL on the surface the peak positions of

the signals remain at constant binding energies, while for thicker films a gradual shift of all signals towards higher binding energies occurs, which reveals, in agreement with previous observations by Cremer *et al.* for [MMIM][TFSA] and [OMIM][TFSA] on Au(111),²⁸ that below 1 ML both anions and cations are directly adsorbed on the Ag surface, while at higher coverages condensed multilayer species dominate the spectra increasingly. (The peak shift is highlighted by the dashed lines in Figure 5c, which mark the positions of the fitted peaks for the sub-monolayer signal and for the 17.5 Å thick layer, respectively.)

Despite of the detailed structural information gained from the STM data, it is not possible to identify the origin of the different features from these images alone. Further insight comes from DFT-D calculations, which provide a detailed understanding of the anion-cation adsorption complex and can explain the appearance in STM images for the given tunneling conditions. However, both the cation and the anion have many possible conformations at the surface due to rotation around C-C, N-C or S-C single bonds. In order to reduce the number of possible starting conformations of the adsorption structure of the IL pair, we will first analyze the most stable conformations of the isolated cation and the isolated anion. Especially for the cation, the orientation of the alkyl chain may have a strong impact on the appearance in the STM image and/or the packing density of the molecules at the surface. In the gas phase, the 5membered ring of [BMP]⁺ adopts an envelope conformation in which the butyl group either occupies the axial position (as shown in Figure 6a) or the equatorial position, *i.e.*, the butyl group is either perpendicular or parallel to the cyclopentane ring. For the isolated cation, the equatorial position is about 18 meV more stable. As this energetic difference is rather small and the actual conformation at the surface may depend on the coverage, the conformer with the butyl group in the axial position was considered as well. On the other hand, it should also be considered that the axial position, with the butyl group sticking up when the imidazol ring is parallel to the surface, would allow a higher packing density.

ACS Nano

To identify the most probable locations for the attachment of an anion, we evaluated the electrostatic potential at an isosurface of the total charge density of the $[BMP]^+$ cation (see Figure 5a). The blue regions reveal the most positive electrostatic potential experienced by a positive test charge, *i.e.*, they indicate the regions where a negative (partial) charge would attach preferentially. In contrast, the red regions reveal the least positive electrostatic potential a positive test charge would experience. Accordingly, the anion most probably attaches in the middle of the triangle formed by the hydrogen atoms of the α -C-atoms.

For the isolated [TFSA]⁻ anion, two stable conformations are obtained. In the first one, the CF₃ groups are both located above (or below) the S-N-S plane, which is subsequently denoted as *cis*-conformation. In the second conformation, which is accordingly termed as *trans*conformation, one CF₃ groups is above and the other one below the S-N-S plane. The *trans*conformer is about 40 meV more stable than the *cis*-conformer. Additionally, the rotational barrier that needs to be overcome for transferring from the one into the other conformer is rather small, in the range of 50-100 meV. Sobota et al. reported for an imidazolium based ionic liquid that in the multilayer both the *cis*- and the *trans*-conformers of [TFSA]⁻ coexist, whereas in the monolayer the *cis*-conformer is found on a Al₂O₃/NiAl(110) support.²⁹ Considering the adsorbed ionic liquid rather than an individual anion, two additional factors may affect the relative stability of the respective conformers: the interaction with the counter ion, here the cation, and the interaction with the surface. Due to these interactions, even quite different conformers might be involved in the most stable complexes. Similar to the procedure for the [BMP]⁺ cation, the electrostatic potential is calculated at and mapped onto an isosurface of the total charge density of the [TFSA]⁻ anion (see Figure 5b) to estimate possible positions of attached cations. For both the *cis*- and the *trans*-conformation (not shown), the most attractive regions for positive charges (red regions) are within the S-N-S plane. In order to probe the possible changes in the stability induced by an attached cation, we choose the alkali metal ion Li⁺ as a simple model. Both the *cis*- and the *trans*-conformers of [TFSA]⁻ lead

to stable complex structures with the alkali metal cation. Due to the similar stabilization of both [TFSA]⁻ conformers upon interaction with the cation, the *trans*-conformer is more stable than the *cis*-conformer also in a cation-anion complex.

To elucidate effects resulting from the interaction with the substrate, we first explore the adsorption of [TFSA]⁻ on Ag(111). In that case, the *trans* conformer leads to the most stable adsorption structure, being about 0.2 eV more stable than the metastable *cis*-conformer. Both the *cis*- and the *trans*-conformer adsorb with their respective dipole moment aligned normal to the surface, with the negatively charged end of the molecule oriented towards the surface. The adsorption distances of both conformers are comparable as well. The distance between the average z-position of the O-atoms (only 2 atoms are taken into account in the case of the *trans*-conformer) and the Ag-atoms of the topmost surface layer is 2.472 Å and 2.717 Å for the *trans*- and *cis*-conformer, respectively.

Although we have worked out stable anion and cation conformations in the gas phase and at the surface, there are still many possibilities for the structure at the surface. In the following we restrict the discussion to only one possibility that has been found to be theoretically stable and that is compatible with our experimental results. A valuable experimental input is that the appearance and the size of the unit cell of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) and others which we observed for related ILs with different alkyl groups ([EMIM][TFSA], [OMIM][TFSA]) are nearly identical, which is a strong indication that the alkyl chain of the cation adopts the axial position, pointing towards the vacuum. Furthermore, the XPS data (Figure 5) clearly indicated that both the cation and the anion are in contact with the surface. Similar findings were also reported for angle resolved x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (ARXPS) measurements for the adsorption of [MMIM][TFSA] on Au(111).²⁸ The authors of the latter study also proposed that the oxygen atoms of adsorbed [TFSA]⁻ are pointing towards the surface, whereas the fluoromethyl groups are directed towards the vacuum. These experimental findings suggest that the butyl group of adsorbed [BMP]⁺ adopts the axial position and the *cis*-conformer of

ACS Nano

adsorbed [TFSA]⁻ is present in the monolayer of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111). Albeit, as shown above, both this conformation itself and its adsorption are less stable than that of the *trans*conformer, it might still lead to a more stable structure of the total adsorption complex due to more favorable adsorbate – adsorbate interactions, as the electrostatic potential of [TFSA]⁻ is most negative in the S-N-S plane, which in turn is parallel to the surface in case of the *cis*conformer. Thus, the interactions with neighboring cations are expected to be stronger than in the case of the *trans*-conformer where the S-N-S-plane (and thus the most negative electrostatic potential) is normal to the surface.

When looking at the structure of the adsorption complex (see Figure 6c) and comparing it to that of pure adsorbed [TFSA]⁻ (in the *cis*-conformation), there are barely any differences: the anion is only slightly further away from the surface (about 0.10 Å) than for the pure adsorbed [TFSA]. The adsorption energy with respect to the ionic liquid pair in the gas phase is 1.30 eV. However, 95% of the adsorption energy is due to dispersion interactions, *i.e.*, all other interactions (electrostatic interactions, covalent bonding) only lead to an adsorption energy of 0.06 eV. The charge density difference map (Figure 6d) provides an insight into the electronic changes occurring upon adsorption. Upon adsorption, electrons are shifted from the blue regions to the red regions. As expected, there is a depletion of electron charge in the region between the anion and the surface, whereas it is enhanced in the region between the surface and the cation. Thus, the ion pair induces a lateral dipole at the surface which is opposite in sign to the dipole generated by the two adsorbed ions. For the understanding of the adsorption bond it would be interesting to know whether the enhanced/depleted electron density is due to a charge transfer from the surface to the ionic liquid (and vice versa) or whether it is due to polarization effects within the individual components. This could tell us whether the charge remains at the ionic liquid or whether there is a significant charge transfer to / from the adsorbed species. In the first case, there will be considerable electrostatic adsorbate adsorbate interactions, which lead to the formation of an ordered structure, in the second case

ordering has to occur *via* different mechanisms, *e.g.*, weaker dipolar interactions or van der Waals interactions between adsorbates, and indirect (surface mediated) adsorbate - adsorbate interactions. However, it is hard to distinguish between charge transfer and polarization effects as the assignment of charges to individual components mainly depends on the position of the border between them. Therefore, different methods for charge analysis that are based on different dividing schemes can lead to very diverse results. In order to get at least a rough estimate of the charge distribution within the system, we used the Bader charge analysis. We found that the charges of the cation and the anion hardly change upon adsorption on Ag(111). This is in agreement with the rather small interaction energy of 0.06 eV if dispersive interactions are excluded, *i.e.*, with the absence of any significant covalent bond formation.

In Figure 7a we show simulated STM images of two possible adsorption structures with comparable adsorption energy. These simulations suggest that the experimentally observed dots in the high-resolution STM images correspond to the cations. This seems to be the only way to explain the large corrugation of the isosurface of the partial electronic density contributing to the STM image. The longish protrusions could be related to the adsorbed anion. It seems that each anion leads to two longish protrusions, each of them stemming from 2 F-atoms of the fluoromethyl groups. This could explain the ratio of 1:2 of dots to longish protrusions. Furthermore, different voltages in between -0.3 V and -1.5 V have been studied; however, no voltage dependency could be observed.

Following the STM simulations, the anion-cation pairs in the ordered 2D crystalline phase are depicted in the high-resolution STM image in Figure 7b on the right hand side by superimposed dots and longish protrusions. To allow for a direct comparison with the simulated STM images, we inserted a pseudo three-dimensional STM representation with a similar scale as used in the simulated presentation in the left lower corner of Figure 7b. Apparently, the IL structure is formed by an alternating sequence of rows in which the anioncation pairs exhibit the same azimuthal orientation. In this herringbone-type arrangement, the

ACS Nano

azimuthal orientation of the ion pairs changes by 120° between subsequent rows. The unit cell is included as well; it contains two dots and four longish protrusions, which are now identified as two "anion-cation pairs" with different orientations. It should be noted that the term 'adsorbed ion pair' is in so far misleading as we have no indication for a structure dominated by strongly attractive interactions between intact ion pairs and weak interactions between neighbored ion pairs. Instead, Figure 7b clearly demonstrates that the spacing between anions and cations is rather similar, resembling a 2D ion crystal. Furthermore, the layer is rotationally aligned with the substrate, possibly due to the interaction of the oxygen atoms of the anion with the surface.

Finally it should be noted that from computational reasons the DFT calculations discussed so far were performed for a simplified unit cell with a (5×5) geometry with quasi-isolated adsorbed ion pairs (one ion pair per unit cell) (see section 2.2), while the unit cell depicted in Fig. 4b containing two ion pairs is equivalent to a (4×9) periodicity. However, we also performed a structure optimization within the correct (4×9) periodicity with two ion pairs per unit cell (see Supporting Information SI V), starting with the configuration of the isolated ion pairs. Because of the large computational costs for these calculations, due to the large number of variable parameters for relaxing the adlayer structure, we had to reduce the thickness of the Ag slab to 1 layer so that the STM simulations can not be reliably based on this calculation. Yet, we only obtained a slight structural re-arrangement leading to a stabilization by ~ 0.3 eV in a shallow minimum. This indicates that the adlayer is rather flexible and that there are only relatively weak interactions between the adsorbates. Considering the little variation in energetics and electronic structure, this also means that the STM simulations obtained within the (5×5) cells can be used to interpret the observed structural elements. These findings also mean that further optimization of the adlayer is likely to not have a strong impact on the energetics (substrate – adsorbate and adsorbate – adsorbate interactions). This latter finding is

in good agreement with expectations for an adlayer system dominated by repulsive interactions.

Conclusions

Having investigated the interaction of [BMP][TFSA] with Ag(111) and the structure formation in the adlayer by variable temperature STM measurements and DFT based calculations, we arrive at the following results and conclusions:

- 1. Following deposition of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) at room temperature and cool-down to around 100 K, we observed the coexistence of an ordered 2D crystalline phase, a disordered 2D glass phase and a 2D liquid phase. The 2D glass phase was solely observed on small Ag(111) terraces (d \approx 10 nm), and is probably induced by the interaction with the steps and the lateral confinement. On large Ag(111) terraces, longrange ordered and homogenous domains are formed without any internal domain boundaries.
- Variable temperature STM measurements reveal melting of the ordered 2D crystalline phase at 180±10 K.
- 3. Dynamic STM measurements at around 100 K resolve exchange of adspecies at the phase boundaries 2D crystalline phase | 2D liquid and disordered 2D glass | 2D liquid. While the interior of the ordered 2D crystalline phase is stable over time, infrequent molecular jumps are observed in the 2D glass phase.
- 4. The fact that we observe long-range ordered domains with a low 2D melting point and an equilibrium between the 2D crystalline phase and the 2D liquid phase points to weakly attractive adsorbate-adsorbate interactions and a low surface diffusion barrier.
- 5. Room temperature XPS measurements confirm adsorption of the [BMP] cation and the [TFSA] anion with an anion : cation ratio of 1 : 1, where both ions are in direct contact

ACS Nano

with the metal surface. Furthermore, they show that IL species adsorb intact, without decomposition.

6. DFT-D calculations reveal a stable adsorption complex for one IL pair with the anion and the cation laterally placed side by side. The butyl group of the cation points towards the vacuum and the anion exhibits a *cis*-conformation of the S-N-S plane, with the SO₂ groups binding to the surface and the trifluoromethyl groups pointing towards the interface IL | vacuum. STM simulations agree with the molecular features in high-resolution STM images, allowing us to identify anions and cations. In addition, the calculations show that the charge remains at the ionic liquid and the interaction with the substrate mainly occurs almost exclusively *via* dispersion interactions and weak electrostatic (dipole-induced dipole) interactions. The ordered 2D crystalline phase is stabilized by weakly attractive electrostatic interactions between the positive and negative charges of the adsorbed ions. Finally, the layer is rotationally aligned with the substrate surface, probably due to the interaction of the oxygen atoms of the anion with the surface.

Methods

Experimental Methods

The STM experiments were performed in a two chamber UHV system, at a background pressure in the low 10^{-10} mbar regime. The microscope is an Aarhus type STM (SPECS Aarhus STM 150), which allows to measure at variable temperatures between 100 K and 400 K by cooling with liquid nitrogen and resistive heating. The tunneling current was between 20 pA and 150 pA and the applied bias voltage between -0.1 V and -1.5 V referred to the sample. The images were recorded in constant current mode. Variable temperature STM experiments were conducted with a heating rate of ~0.5 K/min. The Ag(111) single crystal was purchased from MaTecK, the ionic liquid [BMP][TFSA] from Merck in ultrapure quality.

Clean Ag(111) surfaces were prepared by Ar⁺-ion sputtering (500 eV) and annealing to 770 K. Prior to deposition on Ag(111), [BMP][TFSA] was degassed in UHV for at least 24 hours in a previously baked out crucible. The ionic liquid was evaporated onto the Ag substrate held at room temperature (RT) with a commercial Knudsen effusion cell for organic molecules (Ventiotec, OVD-3), which was heated to 373 K. The evaporation rates were previously checked by a quartz micro balance. The sample was cooled down to a temperature of roughly 100 K in a time span of 2-3 hours before the STM measurements.

The XPS experiments were performed in a different UHV system, equipped with a SPECS spectrometer, an Al-K_{α} X-ray source (1486.6 eV) operated at a power of 250 W (U = 14 kV, I = 17.8 mA), and a hemispherical energy analyzer (DLSEGD-Phoibos-Has3500). Spectra were recorded at a pass energy of 100 eV. The photoelectrons were detected at an emission angle (θ) of 80° relative to the surface normal for increasing the surface sensitivity. The adsorbate layer thickness *d* was calculated by analysis of the damping of the substrate Ag 3d levels according to $I_d = I_0 \exp(-d/\lambda \cos \theta)$, with λ being the inelastic mean free path, which was calculated by Tanuma *et al.* to be ~3.3 nm at kinetic energies of 1100 eV.³⁵ Before analysis of the spectra, a Shirley background was subtracted from all C1s signals; in the N1s region this was done by a polygon baseline. In the peak fitting procedure, a Voigt-type peak shape was applied, which was approximated by a weighted sum of Gaussian and Lorentzian functions.

Calculational Methods

Periodic DFT-calculations were performed using the exchange-correlation functional of Perdew, Burke and Ernerhof (PBE) ³⁶ as implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP).^{37,38} In order to account for dispersive interactions missing in the exchange-correlation functionals of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), we employed Grimme's correction scheme of 2010 (DFT-D3).¹¹ It has recently been shown that this

ACS Nano

approach yields reliable adsorption energies and structures for organic molecules and hydrogen-bonded networks on metal surfaces.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Ion cores are represented by means of the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.^{42,43} The electronic one-particle wave functions were expanded in a plane wave basis set up to an energy cut-off of 400 eV.

The Ag(111) surface was represented by a slab consisting of 3 metal layers, separated by a vacuum region of about 25 Å. To model the adsorption of one cation-anion pair at the surface, we chose a Ag(111)-(5x5) overlayer structure with one adsorbed cation-anion pair per unit cell. For the integration over the first Brillouin zone a 2x2x1 Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh ⁴⁴ with a Methfessel-Paxton smearing of 0.1 eV was employed. The geometry of the adsorption complex was optimized by relaxing all atoms of the IL pair and the atoms of the uppermost layer of the metal surface. Only these atoms were taken into account for the evaluation of dispersive interactions.

STM simulations are based on the Tersoff-Hamann approximation.⁴⁵ Within that model the tunneling current is proportional to the local density of states (LDOS) at the surface close to the Fermi energy at the position of the tip. Constant-current images are simulated by an isosurface of the LDOS integrated between the Fermi energy of the system and the sample bias.

Isolated molecules have also been calculated using the GAUSSIAN09 code ⁴⁶ in connection with the atom centered basis set aug-cc-pVTZ.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ For isolated molecules no dispersion corrections have been employed.

Figures



Figure 1. Constant current STM images of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) recorded at ~100 K. (a) The STM image at sub-monolayer coverage shows four silver terraces (indicated by numbers). Both an ordered 2D crystalline phase on the large Ag terrace and a disordered 2D glass phase on small Ag terraces (width ~ 10 nm) could be resolved. (b) At monolayer coverage, the large terrace is occupied by the ordered 2D crystalline, while small terraces are covered by the disordered 2D glass phase ((a) U_t =-0.42 V, I_t =110 pA, (b) U_t =-0.37 V, I_t =130 pA).



Figure 2. Constant current STM images of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) recorded at ~100 K. (a) STM image of the ordered 2D crystalline phase exhibiting frizzy features at the phase boundary to the 2D liquid phase, which are presumably due to exchange of adsorbed IL species at the phase boundary between 2D solid and 2D liquid. Time sequences of STM images with an image to image time of ~ 25 s in (b) and of ~ 6 s in (c) illustrate the dynamic changes at the phase boundary ((a) U_t =-0.33 V, I_t =150 pA), (b) U_t =-1.2 V, I_t =90 pA, (c) U_t =-1.2 V, I_t =100 pA).



Figure 3. STM time sequence of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) recorded at ~100 K. On the left Ag terrace, the phase boundary between disordered 2D glass and 2D liquid is monitored with a time interval from image to image of ~ 12 s (every fourth image is shown). Both the stripy features close to the phase boundary and the gradually changing phase boundary illustrate the exchange of adsorbed IL species between the phases. The right terrace is partly covered by a stable 2D crystalline phase (U_t=-0.86 V, I_t=50 pA).



Figure 4. High resolution STM images of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111) recorded at ~100 K. (a) High-resolution STM image of the ordered 2D crystalline phase; on the right hand side dots and longish protrusions are superimposed on the image. (b) Enlarged STM image highlighting the structure of the ordered 2D crystalline phase. The unit cell is included ($|a| = 2.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ nm}$, $|b| = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ nm}$, $\alpha = 98^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ}$), which contains two dots and four longish protrusions (U_t=-1.32 V, I_t=110 pA).



Figure 5. XP C1s (a) and N1s (b) core level spectra of a submonolayer [BMP][TFSA] layer on Ag(111) at room temperature (nominal thickness ~3.5 Å, see text). Stick presentations of the molecules are placed above the spectra. The color coded arrows refer to the correspondingly color coded XP peaks. The experimental peak areas are close to the nominal stoichiometry of the molecules, indicating that anions and cations are adsorbed on the surface with a ratio of 1 : 1. (c) Sequence of C1s spectra for increasing coverage of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111). Dashed lines indicate the binding energies of the anion and cation related peaks in the limits of small coverages (adsorbed species with metal – adsorbate bond) and of high coverages (17.5 Å film), reflecting condensed ion species (no metal – ion bond



Figure 6. Electrostatic potential at an electron charge isosurface (isosurface charge density $4x10^{-4} \ e/bohr^3$) of (a) [BMP]⁺ (view onto the C_{butyl}-N-C_{methyl} plane) and (b) [TFSA]⁻ (view along the S-N-S plane). Red regions refer to the least positive / most negative electrostatic potential a positive test charge experiences. Blue regions reveal the most positive / least negative electrostatic potential a positive test charge experiences. (c) Side view of the adsorption complex of the ionic liquid ion pair on Ag(111). (d) Isosurfaces (-0.0058 $e/Å^3$ (blue) and +0.0049 $e/Å^3$ (red)) of the adsorption induced changes in the charge density of [BMP][TFSA] adsorbed on Ag(111). The chosen values for the isosurfaces depict a total electron shift of 0.205 e from the blue regions into the red regions.



Figure 7. (a) Ball and stick presentation of two mirror symmetric configurations of the adsorbed IL ions together with the corresponding simulated STM images (U_t =-1.35 V, isosurface value=3E-7 *e*/Å³) of the adsorption structures of [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111). The dots and longish protrusions seen in the experimental STM images are indicated on the right. (b) High resolution STM image of the ordered 2D solid phase. On the right hand side, the anion and cations are labeled by schematic drawings, and the unit cell is marked (U_t =-0.33 V, I_t =150 pA). The inset in the left lower corner shows a pseudo three-dimensional STM representation with a magnified scale, exhibiting the scale as that in the simulated image.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

B.U. gratefully acknowledges a fellowship by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

Supporting Information Available. STM image at 300 K showing a highly mobile 2D liquid adlayer; large-scale STM images including different rotational domains; time lapse movie showing the 2D crystalline and the 2D glass phase; variable temperature STM images; (9×4) unit cell used for the simulation of the STM images. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet a http://pubs.acs.org

REFERENCES

- Welton, T. Room-Temperature Ionic Liquids. Solvents for Synthesis and Catalysis. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2071-2084.
- 2. Ionic Liquids in Synthesis; Wasserscheid, P; Welton, T. eds; 2 ed., Wiley-VCh: 2008.
- Wasserscheid, P.; Keim, W. Ionic Liquids—New "Solutions" for Transition Metal Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 3772-3789.
- Plechkova, N. V.; Seddon, K. R. Applications of Ionic Liquids in the Chemical Industry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, *37*, 123-150.
- Kuboki, T.; Okuyama, T.; Ohsaki, T.; Takami, N. Lithium-Air Batteries Using Hydrophobic Room Temperature Ionic Liquid Electrolyte. J. Power Sources 2005, 146, 766-769.

6. Armand, M.; Tarascon, J.-M. Building Better Batteries. *Nature* 2008, 451, 652-657.

- Armand, M.; Endres, F.; MacFarlane, D. R.; Ohno, H.; Scrosati, B. Ionic-liquid Materials for the Electrochemical Challenges of the Future. *Nature Mater.* 2009, *8*, 621-629.
- Girishkumar, G.; McCloskey, B.; Luntz, A. C.; Swanson, S.; Wilcke, W. Lithium-Air Battery: Promise and Challenges. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2010, *1*, 2193-2203.
- Steinrück, H.-P.; Libuda, J.; Wasserscheid, P.; Cremer, T.; Kolbeck, C.; Laurin, M.; Maier, F.; Sobota, M.; Schulz, P. S.; Stark, M. Surface Science and Model Catalysis with Ionic Liquid-Modified Materials . *Adv. Mater.* 2011, *23*, 2571-2587.
- Steinrück, H.-P. Recent Developments in the Study of Ionic Liquid Interfaces Using X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy and Potential Future Directions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, 14, 5010-5029.
- Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A Consistent and Accurate *ab Initio* Parametrization of Density Functional Dispersion Correction (DFT-D) for the 94 Elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *132*, 154104-154119.
- Atkin, R.; El Abedin, S. Z.; Hayes, R.; Gasparotto, L. H. S.; Borisenko, N.; Endres, F. AFM and STM Studies on the Surface Interaction of [BMP]TFSA and [EMIm]TFSA Ionic Liquids with Au(111). *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, *113*, 13266-13272.
- Endres, F.; Höfft, O.; Borisenko, N.; Gasparotto, L. H. S.; Prowald, A.; Al Salman, R.; Carstens, T.; Atkin, R.; Bund, A.; El Abedin, S. Z. Do Solvation Layers of Ionic Liquids Influence Electrochemical Reactions? *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12*, 1724-1732.

ACS Nano

14. Atkin, R.; Borisenko, N.; Drüschler, M.; El Abedin, S. Z.; Endres, F.; Hayes, R.; Huber, B.; Roling, B. An *in Situ* STM/AFM and Impedance Spectroscopy Study of the Extremely Pure 1-Butyl-1-Methylpyrrolidinium Tris(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate/Au(111) Interface: Potential Dependent Solvation Layers and the Herringbone Reconstruction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *13*, 6849-6857.

- Drüschler, M.; Borisenko, N.; Wallauer, J.; Winter, C.; Huber, B.; Endres, F.; Roling,
 B. New Insights into the Interface between a Single-Crystalline Metal Electrode and an Extremely Pure Ionic Liquid: Slow Interfacial Processes and the Influence of Temperature on Interfacial Dynamics. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, *14*, 5090-5099.
- 16. Gnahm, M.; Berger, C.; Arkhipova, M.; Kunkel, H.; Pajkossy, T.; Maas, G.; Kolb, D.
 M. The Interfaces of Au(111) and Au(100) in a Hexaalkyl-Substituted Guanidinium Ionic Liquid: an Electrochemical and *in Situ* STM Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, 14, 10647-10652.
- Smith, E. F.; Rutten, F. J. M.; Villar-Gracia, I. J.; Briggs, D.; Licence, P. Ionic Liquids in Vacuo: Analysis of Liquid Surfaces Using Ultra-High-Vacuum Techniques. *Langmuir* 2006, 22, 9386-9392.
- Höfft, O.; Bahr, S.; Himmerlich, M.; Krischok, S.; Schaefer, J. A.; Kempter, V. Electronic Structure of the Surface of the Ionic Liquid [EMIM][Tf₂N] Studied by Metastable Impact Electron Spectroscopy (MIES), UPS, and XPS. *Langmuir* 2006, *22*, 7120-7123.
- Maier, F.; Gottfried, J. M.; Rossa, J.; Gerhard, D.; Schulz, P. S.; Schwieger, W.;
 Wasserscheid, P.; Steinrück, H.-P. Surface Enrichment and Depletion Effects of Ions

Dissolved in an Ionic Liquid: An X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Study. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7778-7780.

- Armstrong, J. P.; Hurst, C.; Jones, R. G.; Licence, P.; Lovelock, K. R. J.; Satterley, C. J.; Villar-Garcia, I. J. Vapourisation of Ionic Liquids. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2007, 9, 982-990.
- Souda, R. Glass-Liquid Transition, Crystallization, and Melting of a Room Temperature Ionic Liquid: Thin Films of 1-Ethyl-3-Methylimidazolium Bis[trifluoromethanesulfonyl]imide Studied with TOF-SIMS. J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 15349-15354.
- 22. Deyko, A.; Lovelock, K. R. J.; Corfield, J. A.; Taylor, A. W.; Gooden, P. N.; Villar-Garcia, I. J.; Licence, P.; Jones, R. G.; Krasovskiy, V. G.; Chernikova, E. A.; *et al.* Measuring and Predicting Δ_{vap}H₂₉₈ Values of Ionic Liquids. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2009, *11*, 8544-8555.
- Lovelock, K. R. J.; Villar-Garcia, I. J.; Maier, F.; Steinrück, H. P.; Licence, P. Photoelectron Spectroscopy of Ionic Liquid-Based Interfaces. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 5158-5190.
- Sobota, M.; Schmid, M.; Happel, M.; Amende, M.; Maier, F.; Steinrück, H.-P.; Paape, N.; Wasserscheid, P.; Laurin, M.; Gottfried, J. M.; *et al.* Ionic Liquid Based Model Catalysis: Interaction of [BMIM][Tf₂N] with Pd Nanoparticles Supported on an Ordered Alumina Film. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12*, 10610-10621.
- Maier, F.; Cremer, T.; Kolbeck, C.; Lovelock, K. R. J.; Paape, N.; Schulz, P. S.; Wasserscheid, P.; Steinrück, H. P. Insights into the Surface Composition and Enrichment Effects of Ionic Liquids and Ionic Liquid Mixtures. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12*, 1905-1915.

ACS Nano

- 26. Cremer, T.; Wibmer, L.; Calderon, S. K.; Deyko, A.; Maier, F.; Steinrück, H.-P. Interfaces of Ionic Liquids and Transition Metal Surfaces—Adsorption, Growth, and Thermal Reactions of Ultrathin [C₁C₁Im][Tf₂N] Films on Metallic and Oxidised Ni(111) Surfaces. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 5153-5163.
 - Schernich, S.; Laurin, M.; Lykhach, Y.; Steinrück, H.-P.; Tsud, N.; Skála, T.; Prince, K. C.; Taccardi, N.; Matolín, V.; Wasserscheid, P.; *et al.* Functionalization of Oxide Surfaces Through Reaction with 1,3-Dialkylimidazolium Ionic Liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2012, *4*, 30-35.
 - Cremer, T.; Stark, M.; Deyko, A.; Steinrück, H.-P.; Maier, F. Liquid/Solid Interface of Ultrathin Ionic Liquid Films: [C₁C₁Im][Tf₂N] and [C₈C₁Im][Tf₂N] on Au(111). *Langmuir* 2011, 27, 3662-3671.
 - Sobota, M.; Nikiforidis, I.; Hieringer, W.; Paape, N.; Happel, M.; Steinrück, H.-P.; Görling, A.; Wasserscheid, P.; Laurin, M.; Libuda, J. Toward Ionic-Liquid-Based Model Catalysis: Growth, Orientation, Conformation, and Interaction Mechanism of the [Tf₂N] Anion in [BMIM][Tf₂N] Thin Films on a Well-Ordered Alumina Surface. *Langmuir* 2010, *26*, 7199-7207.
 - Waldmann, T.; Huang, H.-H.; Hoster, H. E.; Höfft, O.; Endres, F.; Behm, R. J. Imaging an Ionic Liquid Adlayer by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy at the Solid | Vacuum Interface. *ChemPhysChem* 2011, 12, 2565-2567.
 - Foulston, R.; Gangopadhyay, S.; Chiutu, C.; Moriarty, P.; Jones, R. G. Mono- and Multi-Layer Adsorption of an Ionic Liquid on Au(110). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, 14, 6054-6066.

- Stepanow, S.; Ohmann, R.; Leroy, F.; Lin, N.; Strunskus, T.; Wöll, C.; Kern, K.
 Rational Design of Two-Dimensional Nanoscale Networks by Electrostatic Interactions at Surfaces. *ACS Nano* 2010, *4*, 1813-1820.
- Skomski, D.; Abb, S.; Tait, S. L. Robust Surface Nano-Architecture by Alkalicarboxylate Ionic Bonding. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14165-14171.
- 34. Wäckerlin, C.; Iacovita, C.; Chylareka, D.; Fesser, P.; Jung, T. A.; Ballav, N. Assembly of 2D Ionic Layers by Reaction of Alkali Halides with the Organic Electrophile 7,7,8,8-Tetracyano-p-quinodimethane (TCNQ). *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 9146-9148.
- Tanuma, S.; Powell, C. J.; Penn, D. R. Calculations of Electron Inelastic Mean Free Paths. Data for 14 Organic Compounds over the 50-2000 eV Range. *Surf. Interface Anal.* 1993, 21, 165-176.
- Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of *ab Initio* Total Energy Calculations for Metals and Semiconductors Using a Plane-Wave Basis Set. J. Comp. Mat. Sci. 1996, 6, 15-50.
- 38. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient Iterative Schemes for *ab Initio* Total-Energy Calculations Using a Plane-Wave Basis Set . *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169-11186.
- Tonigold, K.; Groß, A. Adsorption of Small Aromatic Molecules on the (111) Surfaces of Noble Metals: A Density Functional Theory Study with Semiempirical Corrections for Dispersion Effects. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 224701-224701-10.

 Tonigold, K.; Groß, A. Dispersive Interactions in Water Bilayers at Metallic Surfaces: A Comparison of the PBE and RPBE Functional Including Semiempirical Dispersion Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701. Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, 14, 10726-10731. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, 50, 17953- 17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, 59, 1758-1775. Kresse, G.; Joubert, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, 13, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, 31, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	1		
 Tongold, K.; Grob, A. Dispersive Interactions in Water Bilayers at Metallic Surfaces: A Comparison of the PBE and RPBE Functional Including Semiempirical Dispersion Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701. Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 10726-10731. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953- 17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758-1775. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. Phys. Rev. B 1976, 13, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Phys. Rev. B 1985, 31, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	2	10	
 A Comparison of the PBE and RPBE Functional Including Semiempirical Dispersion Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701. 41. Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 10726-10731. 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953- 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. Phys. Rev. B 1976, 13, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Phys. Rev. B 1985, 31, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	3	40.	Tonigold, K.; Groß, A. Dispersive Interactions in Water Bilayers at Metallic Surfaces:
 A Comparison of the PBE and RPBE Functional including Semiempirical Dispersion Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701. 41. Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, 14, 10726-10731. 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, 50, 17953- 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, 59, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, 13, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Harmann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, 31, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dumning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	4		
 Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701. 41. Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 10726-10731. 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953- 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. Phys. Rev. B 1976, 13, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Harnann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Phys. Rev. B 1985, 31, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	5		A Comparison of the PBE and RPBE Functional Including Semiempirical Dispersion
 Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701. 41. Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, 14, 10726-10731. 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, 50, 17953- 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, 59, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, 13, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, 31, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	0 7		
 Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, <i>14</i>, 10726-10731. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953- 17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	8		Corrections. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 695-701.
 Waldmann, T.; Nenon, C.; Tonigold, K.; Hoster, H. E.; Groß, A.; Behm, R. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, <i>14</i>, 10726-10731. Blochl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953- 17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	9		
 Waldmann, F., Nellon, C., Tongold, K., Hosler, H. E., Glob, A., Belmi, K. J. The Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, <i>14</i>, 10726-10731. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953- 17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	10	41	Waldmann T: Nanon C: Tonigold K: Hoster H E: Groß A: Behm P I The
 Role of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Adsorption: Substrate Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, <i>14</i>, 10726-10731. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953- 17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	11	41.	waldhahin, 1., Neholi, C., Tolligold, K., Hostel, H. E., Olob, A., Behni, K. J. The
 Kole of Surface Defects on Large Organic Milecule Autorphon. Subsidie Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, <i>14</i>, 10726-10731. 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953- 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	12		Polo of Surface Defects on Large Organia Melocula Advertion: Substrate
 Configuration Effects. <i>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</i> 2012, <i>14</i>, 10726-10731. 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953-17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	13		Kole of Surface Defects on Large Organic Molecule Ausorphon. Substrate
 Configuration Enects. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 10720-10131. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953-17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758-1775. Konkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. Phys. Rev. B 1976, 13, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Phys. Rev. B 1985, 31, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007-1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796-6806. 	14		Configuration Efforts Days Cham Cham Days 2012 14 10726 10721
 Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953-17979. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	15		Configuration Effects. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 10/20-10/51.
 42. Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994, <i>50</i>, 17953-17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	16		
 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	17	42	Blöchl P E Projector Augmented-Wave Method Phys Rev B 1994, 50 17953-
 17979. 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	10	.2.	
 Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	20		17979
 Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	21		17777.
 43. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented- Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	22		
 Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	23	43.	Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-
 Wave Method. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1999, <i>59</i>, 1758-1775. 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	24		
 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	25		Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758-1775.
 Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	26		
 44. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796-6806. 	27		
 <i>Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	28	44.	Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. Phys.
 <i>Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i>, 5188-5192. 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	29		
 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	30 31		<i>Rev. B</i> 1976, <i>13</i> , 5188-5192.
 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	32		
 45. Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	33		
 <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	34	45.	Tersoff, J.; Hamann, D. R. Theory of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope. <i>Phys. Rev.</i>
 <i>B</i> 1985, <i>31</i>, 805-813. 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	35		
 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	36		B 1985, 31, 805-813.
 46. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	37		
 40. Trisch, M. J., Hucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuscha, G. E., Robo, M. A., 41. Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; <i>et al.</i> 42. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 44. 45. 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. 47. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>90</i>, 1007- 1023. 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms 48. Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> 1992, <i>96</i>, 6796- 6806. 	38	46	Frisch M. I. Trucks, G. W. Schlegel, H. B. Scuseria, G. F. Robb, M. A.
 Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. 44 45 46 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	39	40.	Trisch, M. J., Hucks, O. W., Schlegel, H. D., Scuscha, O. E., Robb, M. A.,
 Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	40		Chaeseman I R · Scalmani G · Barone V · Mennucci R · Petersson G Λ · at al
 Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT. Gaussian. 2010. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	41 12		Cheeseman, J. K., Scannam, O., Darone, V., Mennucci, D., Tetersson, O. A., et al.
 Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wanngroud C.1. Gaussian 2010. 44 45 46 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. 48 49 49 40 40 41. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 42. 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms 48. Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 48. 6806. 	42		Gaussian 00 Pavision B 01 Gaussian Inc. Wallingford CT. Gaussian 2010
 45 46 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. 48 49 49 40. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 50 50 51 52 53 54 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms 55 56 75 6806. 	44		Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Gaussian, me., wannighted C1. Gaussian. 2010.
 46 47. Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. 47 48 49 49 50 51 52 53 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms 55 56 56 57 58 6806. 	45		
 I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007-1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796-6806. 	46	47.	Dunning Jr., T. H. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations.
 I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 90, 1007- 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	47	• • •	
 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 56 56 57 58 6806. 59 60 	48		I The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen J. Chem. Phys. 1989 90 1007-
 1023. 1023. 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796-6806. 6806. 	49		
 Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	50		1023.
 Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	51		
 48. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 6806. 	52 53		
 55 56 Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 57 58 6806. 59 60 	54	48.	Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, J.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms
56 Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796- 57 6806. 59 60	55		
57 58 6806. 59 60	56		Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 6796-
58 6806. 59 60	57		
59 60	58		6806.
60	59		
	60		

- Woon, D. E.; Dunning, J. Gaussian Basis Sets for Use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. III. The Atoms Aluminum through Argon. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1358-1371.
- Yanagi, H.; Mukai, H.; Ikuta, K.; Shibutani, T.; Kamikado, T.; Yokoyama, S.; Mashiko, S. Molecularly Resolved Dynamics for Two-Dimensional Nucleation of Supramolecular Assembly. *Nano Lett.* 2002, *2*, 601-604.
- Berner, S.; de Wild, M.; Ramoino, L.; Ivan, S.; Baratoff, A.; Güntherodt, H. J.; Suzuki, H.; Schlettwein, D.; Jung, T. A. Adsorption and Two-Dimensional Phases of a Large Polar Molecule: Sub-Phthalocyanine on Ag(111). *Phys. Rev. B* 2003, 68, 115410.
- Buchner, F; Zillner, E.; Röckert, M.; Gläßel S.; Steinrück H.-P.; Marbach, H. Substrate-Mediated Phase Separation of Two Porphyrin Derivates on Cu(111). *Chem.Eur. J.* 2011, 17, 10266-10229

BRIEFS

A pseudo-three-dimensional presentation of a sub-molecularly resolved STM image and the configuration resulting from DFT-D based calculations of the adsorbed ionic liquid [BMP][TFSA] on Ag(111).

SYNOPSIS

